arXiv:0711.2307v2 [math.DS] 27 May 2008

Hedlund's theorem for compact minimal laminations

Matilde Martínez* Alberto Verjovsky †

May 27, 2008

Abstract

If $\mathcal{L}$ is a compact minimal lamination by surfaces of negative curvature, we give a sufficient condition for the horocycle flow on its unit tangent bundle to be minimal.

keywords: Laminations, geodesic and horocycle flows , harmonic measures.
MSC: 37D40, 37A17, 58J65

1 Introduction

The geodesic and horocycle flows over compact hyperbolic surfaces have been studied in great detail since the pioneering work in the 1930's by E. Hopf and G. Hedlund. Such flows are particular instances of flows on homogeneous spaces induced by one-parameter subgroups, namely, if G is a Lie group, K a closed subgroup and N a one-parameter subgroup of G, then N acts on the homogeneous space $K\backslash G$ by right multiplication on left cosets. One very important case is when $G = SL(n,\mathbb{R})$, $K = SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ and N is an unipotent one parameter subgroup of $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$, i.e., all elements of N consists of matrices having all eigenvalues equal to one. In this case $SL(n,\mathbb{Z})\backslash SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ is the space of unimodular lattices. By a theorem by Marina Ratner (see [24]), which gives a positive answer to the Raghunathan conjecture, the closure of the orbit under the unipotent flow of a point $x \in SL(n,\mathbb{Z})\backslash SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ is the orbit of x under the action of a closed subgroup $H(x)$. This particular case already has very important applications to number theory, for instance, it was used by G. Margulis and Dani in [9] and Margulis in [16] to give a positive answer to the Oppenheim conjecture. When $n = 2$ and Γ is a discrete subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ such

---

[*] Centro de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República. Iguá 4225 esq. Mataojo, 11400 Montevideo, Uruguay. email: matilde@cmat.edu.uy

[†] Instituto de Matemáticas (unidad Cuernavaca), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Apartado Postal 273. Admon. de correos #3, C.P. 62251 Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico. email: alberto@matcuer.unam.mx. Research partially supported by CONACyT proyecto U1 55084, and PAPIIT (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México) # IN102108.

that $M := \Gamma\backslash SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is of finite Haar volume, and N is any unipotent one-parameter subgroup acting on M, Hedlund proved that any orbit of the flow is either a periodic orbit or dense. When Γ is cocompact the flow induced by N has every orbit dense, so it is a minimal flow. The horocycle flow on a compact hyperbolic surface is a homogeneous flow of the previous type and most of the important dynamic, geometric and ergodic features are already present in this 3-dimensional case.

On the other hand, the study of Riemann surface laminations has recently played an important role in holomorphic dynamics (see [11] and [14]), polygonal tilings of the Euclidean or hyperbolic plane (see [3], [19]), moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (see [17]), etc. It is natural then to consider compact laminations by surfaces with a Riemannian metric of negative curvature and consider the positive and negative horocycle flows on the unit tangent bundle of the lamination. In this paper we give a condition that guarantees that both these flows are minimal if the lamination is minimal.

2 Preliminaries

Let S be a compact surface endowed with a Riemannian metric of constant curvature equal to -1. It is of the form $\Gamma\backslash\mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the hyperbolic plane and Γ is a cocompact discrete subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ containing only hyperbolic matrices. The compact unit tangent bundle T^1S of S can be identified with $\Gamma\backslash PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, and therefore has a $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$-action induced by the right translation.

The geodesic flow on T^1S corresponds to the action of the diagonal subgroup

$$D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

the stable horocycle flow to the action of the upper triangular group

$$H^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and the unstable horocycle flow to the action of

$$H^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

The following result was proved by Hedlund in [12]:

Theorem 1. (Hedlund) *The stable and unstable horocycle flows are both minimal in* T^1S.

The purpose of this note is to generalize this result to compact minimal hyperbolic surface laminations, in a way that immediately extends to the variable negative curvature case.

Definition 1. *A lamination $\mathcal{L}$ is a separable, locally compact metrizable space that has an open covering $\{E_i\}$ and an atlas $\{(E_i, \varphi_i)\}$ satisfying:*

1. *$\varphi_i : E_i \to D_i \times T_i$ is a homeomorphism, for some open disk D_i in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and topological space T_i, and*
2. *the coordinate changes $\varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ are of the form $(z, t) \mapsto (\zeta(z, t), \tau(t))$, where each ζ is smooth in the z variable.*

This last condition says that the sets of the form $\varphi_i^{-1}(D_i \times \{t\})$, called *plaques*, glue together to form d-dimensional manifolds that we call *leaves.* The sets E_i are called *foliated charts* or *flow boxes.*

The leaf through x is denoted by L_x.

If $d = 2$ we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is a surface lamination.

Definition 2. *A lamination is minimal if all its leaves are dense.*

Consider a finite set $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_M$ of transversals of $\mathcal{L}$ such that any leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ intersects at least one of the τ_i's.

Definition 3. *A finite measure ν on $\tau = \tau_1 \cup \ldots \cup \tau_M$ is holonomy-invariant if it is invariant under all holonomy transformations induced by paths connecting pairs of points in τ.*

For the definition of holonomy transformations, see for example [5] or [7].

Consider a surface lamination $\mathcal{L}$ endowed with a C^∞ Riemannian metric – that is, a Riemannian metric on each leaf all whose derivatives vary continuously in the transverse direction. Each leaf L, being a Riemannian manifold, has its Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_L. If $f : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable in the leaf direction, and $x \in \mathcal{L}$, we define

$$\Delta f(x) = \Delta_{L_x} f|_{L_x}(x),$$

where L_x is the leaf passing through x and $f|_{L_x}$ is the restriction of f to L_x.

Definition 4. *A probability measure μ on $\mathcal{L}$ is harmonic if $\Delta\mu = 0$; i.e if $\int \Delta f \, d\mu = 0$ for all f. A harmonic measure is ergodic if every measurable leaf-saturated set has null or full measure.*

Harmonic measures were introduced by Lucy Garnett (see [10]), and they satisfy the following ergodic theorem:

Theorem 2. (Garnett) *Let μ be an ergodic harmonic probability measure on $\mathcal{L}$. Let f be a real-valued function on $\mathcal{L}$ which is integrable with respect to μ. Then*

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\omega(t)) \, dt = \int f \, d\mu,$$

for μ-almost every point x and for almost every continuous path $\omega : [0, \infty) \to L_x$ on the leaf through x which starts at x, in the sense of the Wiener measure.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a surface lamination with a C^∞ Riemannian metric and L be a leaf of $\mathcal{L}$. The restriction to L of the metric on $\mathcal{L}$ lifts to a Riemannian metric on the universal cover $\tilde{L}$ of L. Let us fix $x \in \tilde{L}$ and call $A(r)$ the area of the ball on $\tilde{L}$ centered at x with radius $r > 0$.

Definition 5. *We say that the leaf L is hyperbolic if*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r} \log A(r) > 0.$$

This condition is of course independent of x, but it is also independent of the Riemannian metric chosen, since all metrics on the compact lamination $\mathcal{L}$ are quasi-isometric, and induce quasi-isometric metrics on leaves.

From this point onwards, $\mathcal{L}$ will denote a compact surface lamination whose leaves are hyperbolic. Each leaf has a metric of constant curvature -1 in each conformal class, and we can fix a conformal class that varies continuously in the transverse direction (for example, by taking any C^∞ Riemannian metric). According to a theorem due to Candel and Verjovsky (see [6] and [27]), metrics of constant curvature -1 thus defined, as well as their derivatives of all orders, have a continuous variation in the transverse direction.

Definition 6. *For $x \in \mathcal{L}$ let T_xL_x denote the tangent plane of the leaf L_x at the point x. Let*

$$T^1\mathcal{L} := \{(x, v) \mid x \in \mathcal{L},\ \ v \in T_xL_x,\ ||v|| = 1\}$$

Let $\{(E_i, \varphi_i)\}$ be an atlas for $\mathcal{L}$, as in Definition 1. For every i, let $p_i : D_i \times T_i \to D_i$ be the projection onto the first factor. The restriction of $p_i \circ \varphi_i$ to a plaque $P = \varphi_i^{-1}(D_i \times \{t\})$, $t \in T_i$, is a smooth map from P to D_i.

For each i, we define $\tilde{E}_i = \{(x, v) : x \in E_i,\ v \in T_xL_x,\ ||v|| = 1\}$. We define $\tilde{\varphi}_i : \tilde{E}_i \to (T^1D_i) \times T_i$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i((x, v)) = (\varphi_i(x), (d_x(p_i \circ \varphi_i))(v)),$$

where we understand that the domain of $p_i \circ \varphi_i$ is the plaque through x.

The family $(\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i)$ is an atlas that gives $T^1\mathcal{L}$ the structure of a three-dimensional lamination whose leaves are the unit tangent bundles of the leaves of $\mathcal{L}$. We say that $T^1\mathcal{L}$ is the *unit tangent bundle* of the lamination $\mathcal{L}$. Naturally, there is a fibre bundle projection $T^1\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ whose fibers are the unit tangent spaces to the leaves.

The *laminated geodesic flow* is the flow g_t on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ that when restricted to the unit tangent bundle T^1L of a leaf L coincides with the geodesic flow of L. The *stable* and *unstable horocycle flows* are the flows in $T^1\mathcal{L}$ that when restricted to the unit tangent bundle T^1L of a leaf L coincide with the stable and unstable horocycle flows of L, respectively. All these flows are continuous since they have a continuous dependence on derivatives of the metric.

Measures on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ invariant under these flows are closely related to harmonic measures on $\mathcal{L}$, see [2].

Notice that the stable horocycle flow of our *compact* lamination has no closed orbits: if $x \in T^1\mathcal{L}$ were periodic, then its forward orbit under the geodesic flow g would accumulate on a fixed point of h^+, and there are none.

The three-dimensional lamination $T^1\mathcal{L}$ has a continuous right $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ - action whose orbits are the leaves. As in the case of surfaces, the geodesic and horocycle flows correspond to the action on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ of the one-parameter subgroups D, H^+ and H^-, respectively. A central role in this work will be played by the the affine group, seen as the subgroup

$$B = D \cup H^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a > 0, \ b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$.

If $\mathcal{L}$ has a C^∞ metric of negative but not necessarily constant curvature, the restriction of the geodesic flow to the unit tangent bundle of each leaf is still an Anosov flow. Its stable manifolds are called stable horocycles, and they can be continuously parametrized as flow lines of the so-called *stable horocycle flow* h^+. Furthermore, we can do this in such a way that

$$g_t h_s^+ = h_{se^{-t}}^+ g_t, \qquad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}; \tag{1}$$

see [15].

3 Hedlund's theorem for compact minimal laminations

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a compact minimal hyperbolic surface lamination, endowed with a metric of negative curvature, and let $T^1\mathcal{L}$ be its unit tangent bundle. If the action of the affine group on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ is minimal, then the stable and unstable horocycle flow h^+ is minimal.*

Let us observe that if we reverse the time of the geodesic flow, the unstable horocycles becomes stable ones, and conversely. It is therefore possible to state an analogous theorem for the unstable horocycle flow.

We will now give a sufficient condition for the affine group action to be minimal, and later on we will show that this is not always the case.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a compact, minimal, hyperbolic surface lamination endowed with a metric of constant curvature -1.

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset T^1\mathcal{L}$ be a minimal set for the action of the affine group. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is minimal, $\mathcal{M}$ projects onto $\mathcal{L}$ via the canonical projection $\pi : T^1\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$.

Let us take a point $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and call L_x the leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ that passes through x. If $\mathcal{M}$ is not the whole of $T^1\mathcal{L}$, the unit tangent space to L_x at x is not contained in $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. $T^1_x\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{M}$ is a nonempty closed proper subset of $T^1_x\mathcal{L}$. In any case, by identifying in the usual way $T^1_x\mathcal{L}$ with the set of points at infinity of the universal cover $\tilde{L}_x$ of L_x, we may think of $T^1_x\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{M}$ as a subset K_x of the circle at infinity of $\tilde{L}_x$. Notice that K_x does not depend on x but only on the leaf L_x, since $\mathcal{M}$ is B-invariant.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a compact, minimal, hyperbolic surface lamination endowed with a metric of constant curvature -1, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a minimal set for the right action of the affine group*

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : \ a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \ a > 0 \right\}$$

on its unit tangent bundle $T^1\mathcal{L}$. If for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$ the set K_x (defined as above) has at least two points, then $\mathcal{M}$ is the whole of $T^1\mathcal{L}$; namely, the B-action is minimal.

Proof of Lemma 1 (due to Étienne Ghys): Let us start by considering the simpler case in which all leaves are hyperbolic planes.

Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is a nontrivial minimal set.

For every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, let $\hat{K_x}$ be the convex hull of K_x in L_x. (Namely, consider all geodesics in L_x joining pairs of points in K_x, and take the convex hull of their union. This set is $\hat{K_x}$.) It is possible to do this because K_x has at least two points.

Let $f : \mathcal{L} \to [0, +\infty)$ be the function defined by

$$f(y) = d(y, \hat{K_y}),$$

where d is the hyperbolic distance on the leaf passing through y.

Remark 1. *The function f is measurable.*

Proof of the remark: Let $E \simeq D \times T$ be a compact foliated chart of $\mathcal{L}$, where D is a closed disk in $\mathbb{C}$ and T is a topological space. In E, the $\hat{K_x}$ form a semicontinuous family of compact sets parametrized by T, and the function f is the distance on each fiber $D \times \{t\}$ to the corresponding compact set. Therefore f is measurable. $\triangle$

In [4], the authors prove that the axiom of choice is not needed to prove the existence of minimal sets. This implies that the function f can be defined without using the axiom of choice, and this is another reason why f must be measurable (see [25]).

Let μ be an ergodic harmonic measure on $\mathcal{L}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$A_n = \{x \in \mathcal{L} : \ f(x) \le n\}.$$

The sequence $\{A_n\}$ is increasing and $\mu(\cup_n A_n) = 1$, therefore there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\mu(A_n) > 0$. The ergodic theorem tells us that for μ-almost every $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and almost every continuous path ω on L_x that starts at x

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \chi_{A_n}(\omega(t))\, dt > 0, \tag{2}$$

where χ_{A_n} is the characteristic function of the set A_n.

In spite of this, for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, the set of continuous paths $\omega(t)$ on L_x which start at x and which converge, when $t \to \infty$, to a point outside K_x has positive Wiener measure, and for any of these paths

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \chi_{A_n}(\omega(t))\, dt = 0.$$

Since this contradicts (2), we have proved the lemma when all leaves of $\mathcal{L}$ are hyperbolic planes.

If there is a leaf L_x of $\mathcal{L}$ which is not simply connected, we can still define $\hat{K}_x$ on its universal cover. For points on L_x, we define $f(y)$ as the distance from y to the projection of $\hat{K}_x$, and use the same argument as before to complete the proof. $\square$

Remark 2. *Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Poincaré disk model of the hyperbolic plane and $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the origin. Consider the measure on the circle at infinity $\partial\mathfrak{h}$ defined by saying that the probability of A is the probability that a Brownian path starting at x ends in A. In the preceding proof we have used the fact that this measure is the Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathfrak{h} = S^1$, and therefore it is positive in open subsets of $\partial\mathfrak{h}$.*

If we consider the disk with a Riemannian metric of variable negative curvature, Brownian paths starting at any point x converge almost surely to the circle at infinity; see [23]. The measure ν_x they induce on the circle at infinity is in a measure class which is independent of x, which follows from Harnack's inequality. Furthermore, when starting points x converge to a boundary point ξ, the measures ν_x converge weakly to the Dirac measure at the point ξ. (See [1], [26] or [13].) This implies that the support of the measures ν_x is the whole circle at infinity

Remark 3. *If the metric on $\mathcal{L}$ is of negative but not necessarily constant curvature, the proof of Lemma 1 still holds, since it depends on properties of the geodesic flow which are true on surfaces of negative curvature. Furthermore, we can consider a continuous right action of the affine group on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(v) &= v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ h_t(v) &= v \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of equation (1). Consequently, the proof of lemma 1 does not even need rephrasing.

We therefore have:

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a surface lamination endowed with a Riemannian metric of negative curvature. If $\mathcal{M} \subset T^1\mathcal{L}$ is nonempty, closed and invariant under both the geodesic flow and the foliation by stable horocycles, and $K_x = T^1_x\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{M}$ has at least two points for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{M} = T^1\mathcal{L}$.*

In fact, there is an apparently weaker condition that can be substituted for the condition that K_x has at least two points for every x:

We say that a subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ has *total probability* if it has full measure according to all harmonic measures on $\mathcal{L}$. Observe that as long as the set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x \in \mathcal{L} : \quad \sharp K_x = 1\}$$

does not have total probability, the proof of Lemma 1 is valid, and therefore the B-action is minimal.

The function $d : x \longmapsto \text{diameter}(K_x)$ is upper semicontinuous, so the set $\mathcal{U} = d^{-1}(0)$ (which is leaf-saturated) must be either residual or empty.

An interesting consequence of the minimality of the B-action is the following:

Remark 4. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a compact surface lamination endowed with a Riemannian metric of negative curvature, which is minimal under the joint action of the geodesic and the stable horocycle flow. Then the laminated geodesic flow is topologically transitive in $T^1\mathcal{L}$.*

The proof of this remark is standard. We will nevertheless write it down for the sake of completeness.

Proof of the remark: Let U and V be open subsets of $T^1\mathcal{L}$, and let x belong to U. A small segment of the stable horocycle passing through x is contained in U. That is, there is an injective curve $\kappa : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to T^1\mathcal{L}$ such that $\kappa((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset U$, $\kappa(0) = x$ and $\kappa(t)$ belongs to the stable horocycle passing through x, for all t.

Let y be a point in the α-limit set of x (with respect to the geodesic flow), and let κ' be the stable horocycle passing through y. The minimality of the affine group action implies the fact that $\cup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} g_t(\kappa')$ is dense in $T^1\mathcal{L}$, and therefore there exist a point $z \in \kappa'$ and a time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g_t(z) \in V$.

When suitably pushed backwards with the geodesic flow, κ approximates κ'. This means that there exist a point $w \in \kappa((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$ and a time $s < 0$ such that $g_s(w)$ is sufficiently close to z for $g_t(g_s(w)) = g_{t+s}(w)$ to belong to V.

Therefore, for any two open sets U and V the orbit under the geodesic flow of U intersects V. Since the topology on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ has a countable basis $\{U_n\}$, this implies the existence of a dense residual set $\mathcal{R} = \cap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (\cup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} g_t(U_n))$ of points having dense orbit. $\square$

In the proof of Theorem 3, it will be important for us to view $T^1\mathcal{L}$ as a two-dimensional lamination whose leaves are the orbits of the affine group B. The following theorem, due to Samuel Petite (see [19, Proposition 3.2] or the proof of [22, Lemma 3.1]) says that such an object does not admit holonomy-invariant measures. [1]

Theorem 4. (Petite) *A compact surface lamination whose leaves are the orbits of a continuous action of the affine group has no holonomy-invariant measures.*

Proof of Theorem 3:

Let K be a minimal set for the horocycle flow h on $T^1\mathcal{L}$, and let us assume that K is not the whole of $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Let g_t be the laminated geodesic flow. Consider the additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} \;\; g_t(K) \cap K \neq \phi\} \\ &= \{t \in \mathbb{R} \;\; g_t(K) = K\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because K is minimal for h. Since $K \neq T^1\mathcal{L}$, the group G must be discrete, and therefore cyclic or trivial.

Lemma 3. *G is not trivial.*

Proof of lemma 3: Suppose that $G = \{0\}$, i.e. that $g_t(K) \cap K = \phi$ for all $t \neq 0$.

Consider the one-dimensional lamination in K whose leaves are the orbits of the horocycle flow. Bebutov's theorem guarantees that this flow admits local transversals so it is indeed a lamination; see [18, Theorem 2.14, pg.333]. It has a holonomy-invariant measure ν, since these measures are in one-to-one correspondence with measures invariant under the flow. (See [8], or simply apply Plante's theorem in [21].) The measure ν is defined on the union τ of some finite set of transversals $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$, which intersects every leaf (i.e. it intersects every horocycle orbit in K).

Let $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} g_t(K)$. It is a two-dimensional lamination whose leaves are orbits of the affine group B, and which is embedded in $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Notice that $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$ are also transversals in $\mathcal{A}$, and $\tau = \tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_m$ intersects every leaf of $\mathcal{A}$.

Consider any path γ through a leaf of $\mathcal{A}$ which joins a pair of points in τ. Every leaf of $\mathcal{A}$ is simply connected, because h has no closed orbits and G is trivial. Therefore γ is homotopic to a segment of a horocycle orbit in K connecting the endpoints of γ, and this means that the holonomy transformation determined by γ preserves the measure ν (at least in a neighborhood in τ of $\gamma(0)$, in principle). Since this holds for every path on a leaf of $\mathcal{A}$ joining points of τ, the measure ν is also a holonomy invariant measure for the lamination $\mathcal{A}$. It can be extended by zero to a holonomy invariant measure of the lamination on the space $T^1\mathcal{L}$ whose leaves are the orbits of the affine group B, which contradicts Petite's theorem (Theorem 4). This concludes the proof of the lemma. △

Therefore, G is cyclic. Let t_0 be its generator.

---

[1] Petite states his results for solenoids, that is, for laminations whose transversals are Cantor sets. This fact, although mentioned in the proof, is unessential, and this result is valid in full generality.

The minimality of the affine group action implies that

$$\cup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} g_t(K) = \cup_{t\in[0,t_0]} g_t(K) = T^1\mathcal{L},$$

and K is therefore a global transverse section of the geodesic flow g_t, which every geodesic orbit intersects exactly at intervals of length t_0. We call a closed set having this property a synchronized global transverse section. The function

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi : T^1\mathcal{L} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/G \\ x & \mapsto & t(\mathrm{mod} t_0) \end{array}$$

if $g_{-t}(x) \in K$ is well defined and it is a locally trivial fibration of $T^1\mathcal{L}$ over S^1. That is, the geodesic flow is a suspension. This was first noticed by Plante in [20].

The proof is completed by the following lemma:

Lemma 4. *The geodesic flow on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ admits no synchronized global transverse section.*

Proof of Lemma 4: Suppose K is a synchronized global transverse section for the geodesic flow on $T^1\mathcal{L}$.

Let $T : T^1\mathcal{L} \to T^1\mathcal{L}$ be the involution that leaves every unit tangent space $T^1_x\mathcal{L}$, $x \in \mathcal{L}$, invariant and takes a unit tangent vector v to $-v$. We can always assume that $\mathcal{L}$ is oriented; otherwise we take an orientable double covering. Under this assumption, T is homotopic to the identity Id in $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Let H_u, $u \in [0,1]$ be an homotopy taking $T = H_0$ to $Id = H_1$.

There exists an infinite cyclic covering $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times K \to T^1\mathcal{L}$ with the property that $\psi(t+s, x) = g_t\psi(s, x)$ for all t, s. The flow f_t in $\mathbb{R}\times K$ defined by $f_t(s, x) = (t+s, x)$ is therefore the lifting of g_t.

Notice that $T \circ g_t \circ T^{-1} = T \circ g_t \circ T = g_{-t}$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and in particular the flows g_t and g_{-t} are topologically conjugated.

Let us compactify $\mathbb{R} \times K$ by adding two points "to the left" and "to the right". Namely, the compactification is $X = (\mathbb{R} \times K) \cup \{L, R\}$; a neighborhood of L is a set containing $V_a = \{(t, x) :\ t < a\}$, for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and neighborhoods of R are defined analogously.

The flow f_t can be continuously extended to a flow $\bar{f}_t$ in X that has L and R as fixed points and that satisfies that

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} \bar{f}_t(x) = L, \quad \lim_{t\to+\infty} \bar{f}_t(x) = R, \tag{3}$$

for every $x \in X\backslash\{L, R\}$.

Likewise, the homotopy H can be lifted to a homotopy $\bar{H} : [0,1] \times X \to X$ such that $\bar{H}_1 = \bar{H}(1,\cdot)$ is the identity in X. Then, each map $\bar{H}_u = \bar{H}(u,\cdot)$ must satisfy $\bar{H}_u(L) = L$, $\bar{H}_u(R) = R$. Nevertheless, $\bar{H}_0$ conjugates f_t to f_{-t}, which combined with equation (3) implies that $H_0(L) = R$ and $H_0(R) = L$.

The fact that we have reached a contradiction proves the lemma and therefore Theorem 3. $\square$

Corollary 1. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *be a compact minimal surface lamination endowed with a Riemannian metric of negative curvature, on whose unit tangent bundle the affine group action is minimal. Then the laminated geodesic flow is topologically mixing in* $T^1\mathcal{L}$*.*

Proof of the corollary: Let V be an open subset of $T^1\mathcal{L}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define F_N as the set of points in $T^1\mathcal{L}$ whose orbit under the unstable horocycle flow h^- does not intersect V between times $-N$ and N. The F_N form a decreasing family of closed, and therefore compact, subsets of $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Since their intersection is empty, one of them must be empty, which means that there exist a time N_0 such that for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, there is a time $t \in [-N_0, N_0]$ for which $h^-_t(x) \in V$.

Let U be another open subset of $T^1\mathcal{L}$, and consider a point $x \in U$. Since U is open, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $h^-_t(x) \in U$ if $|t| < \varepsilon$. This segment of unstable horocycle orbit is exponentially expanded by the geodesic flow g, and therefore there is a $T > 0$ for which $\{h^-_s(g_t(x)) : -N_0 \leq s \leq N_0\} \subset g_t(U)$, for all $t > T$. By definition of N_0, $g_t(U) \cap V \neq \phi$ for every $t > T$, which proves the corollary. □

We will finish by giving another proof of Lemma 4, that is based on the same idea but uses slightly different language.

Assume K is a synchronized global transverse section for the geodesic flow on $T^1\mathcal{L}$. Without loss of generality, we can say that $t = 1$ is the first return time of K to itself.

Let T be, as above, the involution in $T^1\mathcal{L}$ that leaves each fiber $T^1_x\mathcal{L}$ invariant and sends a unit tangent vector v to $-v$.

Lemma 5. *There exists* $s \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $g_s(K) = T(K)$*.*

Proof of Lemma 5: Let $x \in T^1\mathcal{L}$ be a point whose orbit $\Gamma = \{g_t(x) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is dense.

We know that $g_t \circ T = T \circ g_{-t}$, and this implies that $g_t(T(x)) \in T(K)$ if and only if $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also notice that $T(\Gamma) = \{g_{-t}(T(x)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and therefore $T(x)$ also has a dense orbit. Furthermore, since $\Gamma \cap K$ is dense in K, $T(\Gamma) \cap T(K) = \{g_t(T(x)) : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is dense in $T(K)$. These remarks together with the fact that $T(K)$ is closed imply that all points in $T(K)$ return to $T(K)$ exactly at times $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand, if $s \in [0, 1]$ is such that $T(x) \in g_s(K)$, the orbit of $T(x)$ intersects $g_s(K)$ exactly at times $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $g_s(K)$ is the closure of $\{g_t(T(x)) : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and therefore $g_s(K) = T(K)$. □

Proof of Lemma 4: Let $K_t = g_t(K)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, $K_0 = K_1 = K$, and $T^1\mathcal{L} = \cup_{t\in[0,1]} g_t(K)$. There is a locally trivial *differentiable* (in the sense of laminations i.e. continuous and differentiable when restricted to a leaf) fibre bundle

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : T^1\mathcal{L} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \\ x &\longmapsto t(\text{mod } 1), \end{aligned}$$

if $g_{-t}(x) \in K$.

We consider the relative homology groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$:

$$G_t = H_1(T^1\mathcal{L}, K_t; \mathbb{Z}).$$

For $x \in K_t$, we define $\gamma_{t,x} : [0,1] \to T^1\mathcal{L}$ by

$$\gamma_{t,x}(s) = g_s(x).$$

For every $t \in [0,1]$ and $x \in K_t$, $\gamma_{t,x}$ represents a singular cycle $[\gamma_{t,x}]$ in G_t. For $t \in [0,1]$, $\pi_*([\gamma_{t,x}]) \in H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is independent of t. Let us consider $\pi_* : G_t \to H_1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{Z})$, the homomorphism induced by π in homology. We can assume that $\pi_*([\gamma_{t,x}]) = 1$ for *every* couple $t \in [0,1]$ and $x \in K_t$. Lemma 5 assures the existence of $u \in [0,1]$ such that $T(K) = K_u$.

Both $\gamma_{0,x}$ and $\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(Tx)}$ represent homology classes in $H_1(T^1\mathcal{L}, K; \mathbb{Z}) = G_0$ whose image under π_* is $1 \in H_1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{Z})$. We will arrive at a contradiction by proving that $[\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(Tx)}] = -[\gamma_{0,x}]$ in G_0, and therefore that $\pi_*([\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(Tx)}]) = -\pi_*([\gamma_{0,x}]) = -1$.

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is oriented, there is a continuous action of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on $T^1\mathcal{L}$ whose orbits are the unit tangent spaces to the leaves of $\mathcal{L}$. We will write it as

$$T_{e^{2\pi i\theta}} : T^1\mathcal{L} \to T^1\mathcal{L}, \quad \theta \in [0,1].$$

Then $T_1 = Id$ and $T_{-1} = T$.

Consider the function

$$\Psi : [0,1/2] \times \mathbb{R} \to T^1\mathcal{L}$$

defined by $\Psi(\theta, s) = T_{e^{2\pi i\theta}}(g_s(x))$. Notice that $s \mapsto \Psi(0,s)$ is the geodesic with initial condition x, and $s \mapsto \Psi(1/2, s)$ is $s \mapsto g_{-s}(T(x))$.

We define

$$F = \pi \circ \Psi : [0,1/2] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Since π is differentiable in the sense of laminations, F is differentiable when restricted to each leaf. We can assume, without loss of generality, that 1 is a regular value of Ψ (otherwise we can use instead of K K_ϵ, for ϵ small, and then use Sard's theorem). This means that the "band" $F([0,1/2] \times \mathbb{R})$ is transverse to K. Since x, $g_1(x)$, $g_{-u}(T(x))$ and $g_{1-u}(T(x))$ belong to K, their image under F is 1. By transversality, $F^{-1}(\{1\})$ contains two arcs a and b, where a connects x with $g_{-u}(T(x))$ and b connects $g_{1-u}(T(x))$ with $g_1(x)$. The arcs a and b together with the curves $\gamma_{0,x}$ and $\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(T(x))}$ determine an oriented rectangle Q that represents a 2-chain relative to the couple $(T^1\mathcal{L}, K)$, whose boundary is represented by the cycles (mod K) $\gamma_{0,x}$ and $\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(T(x))}$. Therefore $[\gamma_{0,x}] = -[\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(T(x))}]$ and $\pi_*([\gamma_{0,g_{-u}(T(x))}]) = -1$, which is what we had to prove. $\square$

This second proof shows the cohomological nature of both proofs of our main theorem. If there existed a synchronized section, then the associated fibration $\pi : T^1\mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{S}^1$ would represent a nontrivial element α of $H^1(T^1\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Z})$

(identifying, as usual, homotopy classes of maps of a space to the circle with the first cohomology group, with integer coefficients, of the space). The map T defined above is isotopic to the identity and conjugates the geodesic flow g_t with g_{-t} and this would imply that $\alpha = -\alpha$, i.e. $\alpha = 0$ which would be a contradiction.

4 Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to Étienne Ghys for having explained to us Lemma 1, which was crucial for our result.

We are also very grateful to Bertrand Deroin for pointing out the fact that for orbit laminations of affine group actions Hedlund's theorem could not possibly hold.

We would also like to thank Vadim Kaimanovich for kindly answering our questions related to Brownian motion on surfaces of variable negative curvature, Raúl Ures for pointing out that Corollary 1 followed from Theorem 3, and Christian Bonatti for listening to and commenting on our first attempts at proving our main theorem.

The first author would like to thank Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas A.C. (CIMAT), where she spent a year during which part of this work was done.

References

[1] Michael T. Anderson, *The Dirichlet problem at infinity for manifolds of negative curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 701–721 (1984). MR MR730923 (85m:58178)

[2] Yuri Bakhtin and Matilde Martínez, *A characterization of harmonic measures on laminations by hyperbolic Riemann surfaces,* to appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.

[3] Jean Bellissard, Riccardo Benedetti, and Jean-Marc Gambaudo, *Spaces of tilings, finite telescopic approximations and gap-labeling*, Comm. Math. Phys. **261** (2006), no. 1, 1–41. MR MR2193205 (2007c:46063)

[4] Tekamül Büber and W. A. Kirk, *Convexity structures and the existence of minimal sets*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. **35** (1995), 71–81. MR MR1384853 (97g:52002)

[5] César Camacho and Alcides Lins Neto, *Teoria geométrica das folheaç oes*, Projeto Euclides [Euclid Project], vol. 9, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 1979. MR MR642831 (84m:57017)

[6] Alberto Candel, *Uniformization of surface laminations*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **26** (1993), no. 4, 489–516. MR MR1235439 (94f:57025)

[7] Alberto Candel and Lawrence Conlon, *Foliations. I*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR MR1732868 (2002f:57058)

[8] A. Connes, *A survey of foliations and operator algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 521–628. MR MR679730 (84m:58140)

[9] S. G. Dani and G. A. Margulis, *Values of quadratic forms at primitive integral points*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 2, 405–424. MR MR1016271 (90k:22013b)

[10] Lucy Garnett, *Foliations, the ergodic theorem and Brownian motion*, J. Funct. Anal. **51** (1983), no. 3, 285–311. MR MR703080 (84j:58099)

[11] Étienne Ghys, *Laminations par surfaces de Riemann*, Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), Panor. Synthèses, vol. 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999, pp. ix, xi, 49–95. MR MR1760843 (2001g:37068)

[12] Gustav A. Hedlund, *Fuchsian groups and transitive horocycles*, Duke Math. J. **2** (1936), no. 3, 530–542. MR MR1545946

[13] Vadim A. Kaimanovich and Wolfgang Woess, *The Dirichlet problem at infinity for random walks on graphs with a strong isoperimetric inequality*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **91** (1992), no. 3-4, 445–466. MR MR1151805 (93e:60139)

[14] Mikhail Lyubich, John W. Milnor, and Yair N. Minsky (eds.), *Laminations and foliations in dynamics, geometry and topology*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 269, Providence, RI, American Mathematical Society, 2001. MR MR1810533 (2001h:00027)

[15] Brian Marcus, *Ergodic properties of horocycle flows for surfaces of negative curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **105** (1977), no. 1, 81–105. MR MR0458496 (56 #16696)

[16] G. A. Margulis, *Discrete subgroups and ergodic theory*, Number theory, trace formulas and discrete groups (Oslo, 1987), Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 377–398. MR MR993328 (90k:22013a)

[17] Subhashis Nag and Dennis Sullivan, *Teichmüller theory and the universal period mapping via quantum calculus and the $H^{1/2}$ space on the circle*, Osaka J. Math. **32** (1995), no. 1, 1–34. MR MR1323099 (96c:32023)

[18] V. V. Nemytskii and V. V. Stepanov, *Qualitative theory of differential equations*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 22, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1960. MR MR0121520 (22 #12258)

[19] Samuel Petite, *On invariant measures of finite affine type tilings*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **26** (2006), no. 4, 1159–1176. MR MR2247636 (2007h:37021)

[20] Joseph F. Plante, *Anosov flows*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 729–754. MR MR0377930 (51 #14099)

[21] ______, *Foliations with measure preserving holonomy*, Ann. of Math. (2) **102** (1975), no. 2, 327–361. MR MR0391125 (52 #11947)

[22] ______, *Locally free affine group actions*, Trans. AMS **259** (1980), 449–456.

[23] Jean-Jacques Prat, *Étude asymptotique et convergence angulaire du mouvement brownien sur une variété à courbure négative*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **280** (1975), no. 22, Aiii, A1539–A1542. MR MR0388557 (52 #9393)

[24] Marina Ratner, *Raghunathan's topological conjecture and distributions of unipotent flows*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 1, 235–280. MR MR1106945 (93f:22012)

[25] Robert M. Solovay, *A model of set-theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable*, Ann. of Math. (2) **92** (1970), 1–56. MR MR0265151 (42 #64)

[26] Dennis Sullivan, *The Dirichlet problem at infinity for a negatively curved manifold*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 723–732 (1984). MR MR730924 (85m:58177)

[27] Alberto Verjovsky, *A uniformization theorem for holomorphic foliations*, The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984), Contemp. Math., vol. 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 233–253. MR MR893869 (88h:57027)